



Guru Gobind Singh Foundation's  
**GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK**

Khalsa Educational Complex, Guru Gobind Singh Marg, Wadala-Pathardi Road, Nashik-422009.  
Ph. 0253 - 2372788, 7788004581, 82 Fax :- 0253 - 2372886  
www.ggsf.edu.in • e-mail : gcoerc.nashik@ggsf.edu.in

**Electrical Engineering Department**

**Feedback Analysis Report**  
**A.Y.2019-20 (Sem-I)**

Date: 25/10/2019

Sr. No.	Name of Staff	Average Feedback
1	Mrs. S.P.Sonar	4.3
2	Mr. N. M. Pawar	4.2
3	Mr. B. G. Dabhade	4.1
4	Mr. R. V. Patel	4.7
5	Mr. S. U. Shinde	4.3
6	Mrs. P. D. Shav	4.0
7	L. M. Godbole	3.7
8	Mr. A. D. Pandey	4.4
9	Ms. P. S. Jadhav	4.4
10	Mr. N. H. Mate	4.3

Mrs. S.P.Sonar  
HOD, EE

Dr. S. D. Kalpande  
Academic Dean

Dr. N. G. Nikam  
Principal

## Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

### Student's Feedback Report (Mid Term)

Academic Year: 2019-2020		Programme:														
Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject: (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)																
Name of Teacher: Mrs. S.P.Sonar		Offline (Rating 05)						Online (Rating 05)								
S. N.	Question	Sub:1		Sub:2		Sub:3		Average		PLC & SCADA	AC - 1	SS	PLC & SCADA	AC - 1	Average	
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr							
		Parameter														
		Clarity of expectations of students												4.2	4.2	4.2
1	Regularity in class conduction	4.17	4.17	4.73	4.73	4.67	4.67	4.45	4.42	4.42	4.42	4.42	4.2	4.2	4.2	
2	Delivery of course content	4.11	4.00	4.68	4.68	4.44	4.40	4.40	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.1	4.1	4.1	
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	4.06	4.06	4.75	4.75	4.78	4.40	4.40	4.42	4.42	4.42	4.42	4.2	4.2	4.2	
4	Understanding of course objective	4.03	4.03	4.66	4.66	4.67	4.34	4.35	4.35	4.35	4.35	4.35	4.2	4.1	4.2	
5	Overall command on class and subject	4.14	4.20	4.61	4.61	4.56	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.2	4.3	4.2	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.15	4.11	4.66	4.66	4.56	4.40	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.1	4.3	4.2	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.03	4.20	4.61	4.61	4.67	4.32	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.2	4.2	4.2	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation	4.18	4.09	4.66	4.66	4.89	4.42	4.49	4.49	4.49	4.49	4.49	4.1	4.2	4.2	
		Average	4.11	4.11	4.67	4.67	4.65	4.39	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.18	4.20	4.19	
Suggestions for Improvement:														Overall Rating		4.3

  
HOD

  
Academic Dean

  
Principal

**Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik**  
**Student's Feedback Report (Mid Term)**


**Academic Year: 2019-2020** **Programme:**

**Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:**  
 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N. Question	Name of Subject	Offline (Rating 05)										Online (Rating 05)		Parameter	EMC2	BEE	Average
		Sub:1		Sub:2		Sub:3		Average		EMC2	BEE	Average					
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr								
		Rating (05)															
1	Regularity in class conduction	4.71	4.70	3.84	4.03					4.28	4.36			Clarity of expectations of students	4.4	4.2	4.3
2	Delivery of course content	4.67	4.61	3.62	3.70					4.14	4.16			Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content Communication skills Use of	4.5	4.2	4.3
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	4.62	4.52	3.59	3.73					4.11	4.13			Feedback provided on Students progress	4.4	4.1	4.3
4	Understanding of course objective	4.60	4.57	3.65	3.81					4.12	4.19			Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	4.5	4.5	4.5
5	Overall command on class and subject	4.62	4.61	3.62	3.76					4.12	4.18			Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	4.5	4.3	4.4
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.62	4.61	3.73	3.76					4.17	4.18			Motivation and Inspiration for students to learn	4.5	4.3	4.4
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.55	4.48	3.57	3.76					4.06	4.12			Pace on which contents were covered	4.3	4.2	4.3
8	At what level Course meets the expectation	4.55	4.57	3.84	3.62					4.19	4.09			Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration Hands on training Case Study / Other)	4.4	4.2	4.3
	Average	4.62	4.58	3.68	3.77					4.15	4.18			Willingness to offer help and advice to students	4.4	4.2	4.3
														Average	4.45	4.25	4.35
<b>Overall Rating</b>															<b>4.2</b>		

Suggestions for Improvement :

  
HOD

  
Academic Dean

  
Principal

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik  
Student's Feedback Report (Mid Term)

Academic Year: 2019-2020	Programme:
--------------------------	------------

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:  
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

Name of Teacher: Mr. B. G. Dabhade		Offline (Rating 05)										Online (Rating 05)		
S. N.	Question	Sub:1		Sub:2		Sub:3		Average		Parameter	MS	ITM	Average	
		Name of Subject		ITM		EIMT								
		MS	ITM	EIMT										
	Th/Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr					
										Rating (05)				
										Clarity of expectations of students	3.6	4.1	3.9	
1	Regularity in class conduction	4.36	4.36	4.21				4.30	4.29	4.33	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content Communication skills Use of	3.8	4.1	3.9
2	Delivery of course content	4.27	4.27	4.07				4.18	4.17	4.23	Feedback provided on Students progress	3.7	4.1	3.9
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	4.05	4.11	4.07				4.11	4.06	4.11	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	4.0	4.1	4.1
4	Understanding of course objective	4.06	4.02	4.07				4.14	4.07	4.08	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyo	3.9	4.2	4.0
5	Overall command on class and subject	4.10	4.18	4.02				4.11	4.06	4.15	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.8	4.2	4.0
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.05	4.11	4.00				4.07	4.03	4.09	Pace on which contents were covered	3.7	4.1	3.9
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.08	4.14	4.10				4.07	4.09	4.10	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration Hands on training Case Study / Other)	3.8	4.1	4.0
8	At what level Course meets the expectation	4.09	4.09	4.19				4.06	4.14	4.08	Willingness to offer help and advice to student	3.8	4.2	4.0
	Average	4.13	4.16	4.09				4.13	4.11	4.15	Average	3.82	4.15	3.99
Suggestions for Improvement :										Overall Rating		4.1		

  
HOD

  
Academic Dean

  
Principal

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik  
Student's Feedback Report (Mid Term)

Academic Year: 2019-2020	Programme:
--------------------------	------------

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:  
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

Name of Teacher: Mr. R. V. Patel		Offline (Rating 05)								Online(Rating 05)				
S. N.	Question	Sub:1		Sub:2		Sub:3		Averge		Parameter	ADE	AC-3	Aver age	
		Name of Subject		AC-3		EMC-2								
		Th/Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr				
			Rating (05)								Clarity of expectations of students	4.7	4.7	4.7
1	Regularity in class conduction	4.91	5.00	4.52			4.84	4.72	4.92	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content Communication skills Use of	4.7	4.7	4.7	
2	Delivery of course content	4.89	4.98	4.48			4.63	4.68	4.80	Feedback provided on Students progress	4.7	4.7	4.7	
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	4.93	4.98	4.33			4.53	4.63	4.75	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	4.7	4.7	4.7	
4	Understanding of course objective	4.93	4.98	4.38			4.58	4.66	4.78	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	4.8	4.8	4.8	
5	Overall command on class and subject	4.98	4.98	4.40			4.58	4.69	4.78	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	4.7	4.7	4.7	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.91	4.98	4.43			4.58	4.67	4.78	Pace on which contents were covered	4.7	4.7	4.7	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.98	5.00	4.40			4.58	4.69	4.79	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration Hands on training Case Study / Other)	4.7	4.7	4.7	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation	4.98	5.00	4.48			4.58	4.73	4.79	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	4.7	4.7	4.7	
	Average	4.94	4.99	4.43			4.61	4.68	4.80	Average	4.72	4.72	4.72	
Suggestions for Improvement :											Overall Rating		4.7	

  
HOD

  
Academic Dean

  
Principal

**Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik**  
**Student's Feedback Report (Mid Term)**

<b>Academic Year: 2019-2020</b>	<b>Programme:</b>
---------------------------------	-------------------

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:  
 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Question	Name of Subject	Offline (Rating 05)										Online (Rating 05)				Average			
			Sub:1		Sub:2		Sub:3		PSOC		Average		Parameter	EMI	EHV	Average				
			Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr								
			Rating (05)																	
1	Regularity in class conduction		4.45	4.45	4.14					5.00	4.30	4.73	4.3	4.0	4.1		Clarity of expectations of students	4.3	4.0	4.1
2	Delivery of course content		4.25	4.23	4.15				4.75	4.20	4.49	4.3	4.2	4.2		Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content Communication skills Use of	4.3	4.2	4.2	
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts		4.45	4.36	4.03				4.75	4.24	4.56	4.3	4.2	4.2		Feedback provided on Students progress	4.3	4.2	4.2	
4	Understanding of course objective		4.16	4.34	4.18				4.88	4.17	4.61	4.3	4.2	4.2		Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	4.3	4.2	4.2	
5	Overall command on class and subject		4.27	4.30	4.17				4.88	4.22	4.59	4.2	4.2	4.2		Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	4.2	4.2	4.2	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline		4.20	4.32	4.11				4.63	4.16	4.47	4.2	4.1	4.2		Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	4.2	4.1	4.2	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format		4.23	4.23	4.06				4.88	4.14	4.55	4.2	4.2	4.2		Pace on which contents were covered	4.2	4.2	4.2	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation		4.27	4.27	4.03				4.63	4.15	4.45	4.2	4.0	4.1		Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration Hands on training Case Study / Other)	4.2	4.0	4.1	
	Average		4.29	4.31	4.11				4.80	4.20	4.55	4.3	4.1	4.2		Willingness to offer help and advice to students	4.3	4.1	4.2	
Suggestions for Improvement :												Overall Rating				4.3				

  
 Academic Dean

  
 Principal

  
 HOD

**Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik**  
**Student's Feedback Report (Mid Term)**

Academic Year: 2019-2020 Programme: \_\_\_\_\_

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:  
 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Question	Offline (Rating 05)										Online (Rating 05)		CS - Average
		Sub:1		Sub:2		Sub:3		Average		Parameter	PGT	CS - Average		
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr					
		Name of Subject		CS - 2		EIMT		Average						
		Th/Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr				
		Rating (05)												
1	Regularity in class conduction	4.15	4.24	4.23	4.75	4.20	4.49					3.0	4.1	3.5
2	Delivery of course content	4.03	4.27	4.27	4.50	4.15	4.39					3.1	4.0	3.6
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	4.18	4.05	4.11	4.50	4.12	4.31					3.3	4.1	3.7
4	Understanding of course objective	4.17	4.06	4.02	4.50	4.12	4.26					3.1	4.0	3.5
5	Overall command on class and subject	4.11	4.10	4.18	4.50	4.11	4.34					3.0	4.0	3.5
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.34	4.05	4.11	4.50	4.20	4.31					3.2	4.0	3.6
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.30	4.08	4.14	4.38	4.19	4.26					3.0	4.0	3.5
8	At what level Course meets the expectation	4.32	4.09	4.09	4.50	4.20	4.30					3.2	4.1	3.6
	Average	4.20	4.12	4.15	4.52	4.16	4.33					3.1	4.0	3.6
Suggestions for Improvement :												Overall Rating	4.0	

  
 Academic Dean  
  
 Principal  
  
 HOD

Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik  
Student's Feedback Report (Mid Term)

Academic Year: 2019-2020	Programme:
--------------------------	------------

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:  
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Name of Subject	Offline (Rating 05)										Online (Rating 05)				
		Sub-1		Sub-2		Sub-3		Average		POOC	MSE	Assess gr				
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr							
		Th/Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	POOC	MSE	Assess gr	
1	Regularity in class conduction	4.03	4.07	3.50	3.23				3.76	3.65			4.2	3.7	3.9	
2	Delivery of course content	4.05	4.11	2.88	3.00				3.47	3.56			4.1	3.8	4.0	
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	4.06	4.02	2.77	3.27				3.41	3.65			4.2	4.1	4.2	
4	Understanding of course objective	4.10	4.18	2.81	3.12				3.45	3.65			4.2	3.6	3.9	
5	Overall command on class and subject	3.86	4.04	2.73	3.27				3.29	3.65			4.2	3.7	3.9	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.05	3.85	2.92	3.04				3.49	3.45			4.1	3.7	3.9	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.04	4.05	2.92	3.27				3.48	3.66			4.2	3.8	4.0	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation	4.02	4.06	2.81	3.08				3.42	3.57			4.1	3.9	4.0	
	Average	4.03	4.05	2.92	3.16				3.47	3.60			4.18	3.79	3.99	
Suggestions for Improvement:													Overall Rating			3.7

  
HOD

  
Academic Dean

  
Principal



Academic Year: 2019-2020		Programme:														
Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject: (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)																
Name of Teacher: Mr. A. D. Pandey		Offline (Rating 05)						Online (Rating 05)								
S. N.	Question	Sub:1		Sub:2		Sub:3		Sub:3		Sub:3		EIMT	PQ	Average		
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr					
		Rating (05)														
Name of Subject		Th/Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr		
1	Regularity in class conduction	4.26	4.38	4.43	4.69							4.35	4.53	4.3	4.3	4.3
2	Delivery of course content	4.24	4.33	4.29	4.46							4.26	4.40	4.3	4.3	4.3
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	4.17	4.38	4.46	4.54							4.31	4.46	4.4	4.3	4.4
4	Understanding of course objective	4.19	4.42	4.40	4.49							4.30	4.45	4.4	4.3	4.3
5	Overall command on class and subject	4.26	4.25	4.46	4.63							4.36	4.44	4.3	4.2	4.3
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.26	4.38	4.31	4.46							4.29	4.42	4.3	4.2	4.3
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.26	4.38	4.43	4.51							4.35	4.44	4.3	4.2	4.3
8	At what level Course meets the expectation	4.29	4.38	4.23	4.54							4.26	4.46	4.3	4.2	4.3
Average		4.24	4.36	4.38	4.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.31	4.45	4.35	4.25	4.30
Suggestions for Improvement :		Overall Rating												4.4		

  
HOD


  
Academic Dean

  
Principal

**Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik**  
**Student's Feedback Report (Mid Term)**

Academic Year: 2019-2020		Programme:															
Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject: (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)													Online(Rating 05)				
S. N.	Question	Offline (Rating 05)												AMA	SS	Average	
		Sub.1			Sub.2			Sub.3			Average						
		AMA	Th	Pr	SS	Th	Pr	AC - V	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	AMA	SS	Average
		Rating (05)															
1	Regularity in class conduction	4.64	4.64		4.88	4.14			4.39	4.76					4.4	4.3	4.4
2	Delivery of course content	4.33	4.26		4.75	4.11			4.22	4.51					4.4	4.3	4.3
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	4.36	4.21		4.88	4.20			4.28	4.54					4.4	4.4	4.4
4	Understanding of course objective	4.31	4.14		4.75	4.06			4.18	4.45					4.3	4.4	4.3
5	Overall command on class and subject	4.38	4.31		4.88	4.14			4.26	4.59					4.2	4.3	4.3
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.40	4.26		4.63	4.00			4.20	4.44					4.2	4.3	4.3
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.21	4.31		4.88	4.03			4.12	4.59					4.4	4.3	4.4
8	At what level Course meets the expectation	4.24	4.29		4.75	4.11			4.18	4.52					4.4	4.3	4.4
		Average	4.36	4.30	4.80	4.10			4.23	4.55					4.33	4.34	4.34
Suggestions for Improvement :																	
Overall Rating																	
4.4																	

  
HOD

  
Academic Dean

  
Principal

**Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik**  
**Student's Feedback Report (Mid Term)**

<b>Academic Year: 2019-2020</b>	<b>Programme:</b>
---------------------------------	-------------------

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:  
 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Question	Offline (Rating 05)										Parameter	PE	Average
		Sub:1		Sub:2		Sub:3		Average		Rating (05)				
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr			
1	Regularity in class conduction	4.38	4.45		4.30			4.38	4.37			4.38	4.35	4.35
2	Delivery of course content	4.12	4.21		4.44			4.12	4.33			4.35	4.45	4.35
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	4.26	4.24		4.41			4.26	4.32			4.3	4.50	4.35
4	Understanding of course objective	4.10	4.14		4.45			4.10	4.31			4.44	4.44	4.44
5	Overall command on class and subject	4.10	4.14		4.52			4.10	4.33			4.35	4.35	4.35
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.12	4.17		4.41			4.12	4.29			4.41	4.41	4.41
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.14	4.10		4.52			4.14	4.31			4.41	4.41	4.41
8	At what level Course meets the expectation	4.21	4.10		4.37			4.21	4.23			4.35	4.35	4.35
Average		4.18	4.19		4.43			4.18	4.31			4.41	4.41	4.41
<b>Supplement for Improvement</b>													<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>4.3</b>

  
Principal

  
Academic Dean

  
HOD




**Electrical Engineering Department**


**Feedback Analysis Report**  
**A.Y.2019-20 (Sem-II)**

Date: 03/03/2020

Sr. No.	Name of Staff	Average Feedback
1	Mrs. S.P.Sonar	4.1
2	Mr. N. M. Pawar	4.2
3	Mr. B. G. Dabhade	4.0
4	Mr. R. V. Patel	4.6
5	Mr. S. U. Shinde	4.1
6	Mrs. P. D. Shav	4.0
7	L. M. Godbole	4.0
8	Mr. A. D. Pandey	4.3
9	Ms. P. S. Jadhav	4.1
10	Mr. N. H. Mate	4.1

  
Dr. S.P.Sonar  
HOD, EE

  
Dr. S. D. Kalpande  
Academic Dean

  
Dr. N. G. Nikam  
Principal

**Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik**  
**Student's Feedback Report (Mid Term)**

<b>Academic Year: 2019-2020</b>	<b>Programme: <u>Electrical</u></b>
---------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:  
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Question	Offline (Rating 05)										Online(Rating 05)			
		Sub:1		Sub:2		Sub:3		Average		Parameter	EAM	PLC	Average		
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr						
		Rating (05)													
		EAM		PLC											
		Th/Pr		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr				
1	Regularity in class conduction	4.05	3.79	4.29	4.29			4.17	4.04	Clarity of expectations of students		4	4.19	4.1	
2	Delivery of course content	3.95	3.79	4.24	4.29			4.09	4.04	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content Communication skills Use of		4	4.315	4.2	
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	3.79	3.86	4.24	4.33			4.02	4.10	Feedback provided on Students progress		4	3.625	3.8	
4	Understanding of course objective	3.90	3.86	4.24	4.33			4.07	4.10	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?		4	4.25	4.1	
5	Overall command on class and subject	3.92	4.00	4.19	4.38			4.06	4.19	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?		4	4.19	4.1	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.85	3.86	4.24	4.38			4.04	4.12	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn		4	4.25	4.1	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.95	3.93	4.24	4.38			4.09	4.15	Pace on which contents were covered		4	4.19	4.1	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation	3.97	3.79	4.29	4.38			4.13	4.08	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration Hands on training Case Study / Other)		4	4.19	4.1	
	Average	3.92	3.86	4.24				4.08	4.10	Willingness to offer help and advice to students		4	4.19	4.1	
								4.08	4.10	Average		4.00	4.16	4.08	
Suggestions for Improvement:												Overall Rating		4.1	

  
HOD

  
Academic Dean

  
Principal

**Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik**  
**Student's Feedback Report (Mid Term)**

<b>Academic Year: 2019-2020</b>	<b>Programme:</b>	
---------------------------------	-------------------	--

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:  
 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

Name of Teacher: Mr. N. M. Pawar		Offline (Rating 05)								Online (Rating 05)			
		Sub:1		Sub:2		Sub:3		Avrage		Parameter			
S. N.	Question	Name of Subject		EEE						DEM	EEE	Average	
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr				
		Rating (05)											
1	Regularity in class conduction	4.26	4.26					4.26	4.26	Clarity of expectations of students	4.0	4.0	
2	Delivery of course content	4.26	4.18					4.26	4.18	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content Communication skills Use of	4.0	4.0	
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	4.15	4.23					4.15	4.23	Feedback provided on Students progress	4.0	4.0	
4	Understanding of course objective	4.26	4.21					4.26	4.21	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by	4.0	4.0	
5	Overall command on class and subject	4.26	4.23					4.26	4.23	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabu	4.0	4.0	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.26	4.18					4.26	4.18	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	4.0	4.0	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.31	4.21					4.31	4.21	Pace on which contents were covered	4.0	4.0	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation	4.26	4.15					4.26	4.15	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration Hands on training Case Study / Other)	4.0	4.0	
	<b>Average</b>	4.3	4.2					4.25	4.21	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	4.0	4.0	
										<b>Average</b>		4.0	4.0
<b>Suggestions for Improvement :</b>										<b>Overall Rating</b>		4.2	

  
HOD

  
Academic Dean

  
Principal

Academic Year: 2019-2020

Programme:

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:  
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Name of Subject Th/Pr	Offline (Rating 05)						Online (Rating 05)			Average											
		Sub:1		Sub:2		Sub:3		PS1	PECD	PS1		PECD										
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr						Th	Pr								
1	Regularity in class conduction	4.26		4.33	4.24			4.30	4.06					3.8	4.07	3.9						
2	Delivery of course content	3.26		3.95	4.19			3.61	3.90					4.36	4.07	3.95						
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	4.26		4.10	4.19			4.25	3.90					3.86	4.25	4.07						
4	Understanding of course objective	3.26		4.14	4.24			3.70	3.90					4.34	4.25	4.07						
5	Overall command on class and subject	4.41		4.05	4.19			4.17	3.90					3.88	4.19	4.00						
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.26		4.14	4.14			4.20	3.82					4.07	4.07	3.93						
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.30		4.14	4.19			4.03	3.93					4.17	4.07	3.99						
8	At what level Course meets the expectation	4.26		4.10	4.14			4.10	3.90					3.77	4.25	3.98						
	Average	3.91		4.12	4.19			4.04	3.91					4.07	4.17	3.99						
Suggestions for Improvement :		Overall Rating																			4.0	

  
HOD

  
Academic Dean


  
Principal


Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik  
Student's Feedback Report (Mid Term)

Academic Year: 2019-2020	Programme: Electrical Engineering
--------------------------	-----------------------------------

**Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:**  
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N. Question	Name of Subject	Offline (Rating 05)										Online (Rating 05)							
		Sub:1		Sub:2		Sub:3		Average		Parameter	NMC P	SG	Average						
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr										
		Rating (05)																	
1	Regularity in class conduction	4.70	4.59	4.48								4.59	4.59	Clarity of expectations of students	4.42	4.1	4.3		
2	Delivery of course content	4.70	4.70	4.52								4.61	4.70	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content Communication skills Use of	4.77	4.3	4.5		
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	4.67	4.67	4.57								4.62	4.67	Feedback provided on Students progress	4.40	4.2	4.3		
4	Understanding of course objective	4.74	4.67	4.52								4.63	4.67	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	4.88	4.3	4.6		
5	Overall command on class and subject	4.67	4.67	4.57								4.62	4.67	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	4.79	4.3	4.5		
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.70	4.70	4.48								4.59	4.70	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	4.42	4.3	4.3		
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.74	4.70	4.57								4.66	4.70	Pace on which contents were covered	4.61	4.3	4.4		
8	At what level Course meets the expectation	4.70	4.67	4.48								4.59	4.67	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration Hands on training Case Study / Other)	4.34	4.2	4.3		
Average		4.70	4.67	4.52								4.61	4.67	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	4.46	4.3	4.4		
Suggestions for Improvement :												Average							
<b>Overall Rating</b>															<b>4.6</b>				

  
Academic Dean

  
HOD

  
Principal



**Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik**  
**Student's Feedback Report (Mid Term)**

Academic Year: 2019-2020 SEM II	Programme: Electrical Engineering
---------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:  
 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Question	Name of Subject	Offline (Rating 05)										Online (Rating 05)				Average
			Sub:1 EMC1		Sub:2 AC6		Sub:3 PSZ		Sub:4 EW		Average		Parameter	EMC1	AC6		
			Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr					
			Rating (05)														
1	Regularity in class conduction		4.6	4.8	4.2	4.5	4.5	3.7	4.4	4.3				3.8	4.2	4.0	
2	Delivery of course content		4.5	4.5	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.6	4.3	4.4				3.9	4.2	4.0	
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts		4.1	4.6	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.7	4.1	4.0				3.9	4.2	4.1	
4	Understanding of course objective		4.1	4.6	4.0	3.7	3.7	4.7	4.1	4.3				3.8	4.2	4.0	
5	Overall command on class and subject		4.1	4.6	4.1	3.7	3.7	3.7	4.1	4.0				3.7	4.2	3.9	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline		4.1	4.5	4.1	3.6	3.6	3.6	4.1	3.9				3.6	4.2	3.9	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format		4.3	4.6	4.2	4.6	4.6	3.6	4.2	4.3				3.7	4.2	4.0	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation		4.1	4.5	4.1	4.7	4.7	3.7	4.1	4.3				3.6	4.2	3.9	
	Average		4.25	4.56	4.11	4.06	4.06	3.91	4.18	4.18				3.7	4.2	4.0	
Suggestions for Improvement :			Overall Rating										4.1				

  
Principal


  
Academic Dean


  
HOD

Academic Year: 2019-2020	Programme: Electrical Engineering
--------------------------	-----------------------------------

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:  
 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Question	Offline (Rating 05)										Overall (Rating 05)	
		Sub.1		Sub.2		Sub.3		Average		PS 2	AC2	Aves	IP
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr				
		Name of Subject		AC2		Pr		Th		Pr		Parameter	
		Th/Pr		Rating (05)		Rating (05)		Rating (05)		Rating (05)		Parameter	
1	Regularity in class conduction	4.74	4.00	3.74				4.24	4.00	4.5	3.77	4.1	Clarity of expectations of students
2	Delivery of course content	3.15	3.86	3.56				3.35	3.86	4.5	3.69	4.1	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following. (Technical content/course content Communication skills Use of
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	3.03	3.86	3.67				3.35	3.86	4.5	4.25	4.4	Feedback provided on Students progress
4	Understanding of course objective	4.13	4.00	3.56				3.84	4.00	4.5	3.98	4.2	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?
5	Overall command on class and subject	4.15	4.14	3.63				3.89	4.14	4.5	4.07	4.3	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	4.18	4.00	3.59				3.89	4.00	4.5	3.94	4.2	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.23	4.00	3.59				3.41	4.00	4.5	4.25	4.4	Pace on which contents were covered
8	At what level Course meets the expectation	4.23	3.86	3.63				3.93	3.86	4.5	3.9	4.2	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration Hands on training Case Study / Other)
	Average	3.86	3.96	3.62	0.00			3.74	3.96	5.06	4.49	4.26	Willingness to offer help and advice to students
													Average
Suggestions for Improvement:												Overall Rating	4.0

  
HOD


  
Academic Dean


  
Principal

Academic Year: 2019-2020	Programme: Electrical Engineering
--------------------------	-----------------------------------

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:  
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Question	Name of Subject Th/Pr	Offline (Rating 05)						Online (Rating 05)										
			Sub:1		Sub:2		Sub:3		Sub:1		Sub:2		Sub:3						
			Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr					
1	Regularity in class conduction		4.57	4.52													3.9	3.9	
2	Delivery of course content		4.43	4.48														3.9	
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts		4.43	4.43														4.1	4.1
4	Understanding of course objective		4.38	4.43														4.0	4.0
5	Overall command on class and subject		4.52	4.43														3.9	3.9
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline		4.38	4.33														3.9	3.9
7	Clarity given in evaluation format		4.48	4.48														4.0	4.0
8	At what level Course meets the expectation		4.38	4.48														4.1	4.1
		Average	4.45	4.45														3.99	3.99
Suggestions for Improvement :			Overall Rating												4.3				

  
HOD

  
Academic Dean

  
Principal

**Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik**  
**Student's Feedback Report (Mid Term)**

<b>Academic Year: 2019-2020</b>	<b>Programme:</b>	Electrical Engineering
---------------------------------	-------------------	------------------------

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:  
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

Name of Teacher: Ms. P. S. Jadhav		Offline (Rating 05)								Online(Rating 05)				
S. N.	Question	Sub:1		Sub:2		Sub:3		Avrage		Parameter	FMA	UEE	Avera ge	
		Name of Subject		UEE		EW								
	Th/Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr					
Rating (05)														
										Clarity of expectations of students	3.7	4.5	4.1	
1	Regularity in class conduction	4.0	3.9	4.2				4.5	4.1	4.2	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content Communication skills Use of	3.8	4.5	4.2
2	Delivery of course content	3.9	3.9	4.3				4.5	4.1	4.2	Feedback provided on Students progress	3.5	4.5	4.0
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	4.0	3.9	4.2				4.5	4.1	4.2	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	3.8	4.5	4.1
4	Understanding of course objective	4.0	3.9	4.3				4.4	4.2	4.1	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	3.7	4.5	4.1
5	Overall command on class and subject	3.9	4.0	4.2				4.5	4.1	4.2	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.8	4.5	4.1
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.9	4.0	4.3				4.6	4.1	4.3	Pace on which contents were covered	3.9	4.5	4.2
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.0	4.0	4.2				4.4	4.1	4.2	Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration Hands on training Case Study / Other)	3.8	4.5	4.2
8	At what level Course meets the expectation	4.1	4.0	4.3				4.5	4.2	4.3	Willingness to offer help and advice to students	3.6	4.5	4.0
	<b>Average</b>	4.0	4.0	4.2				4.5	4.1	4.2	<b>Average</b>	3.74	4.50	4.12
Suggestions for Improvement :											<b>Overall Rating</b>		<b>4.1</b>	

  
**HOD**

  
**Academic Dean**

  
**Principal**

**Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik**  
**Student's Feedback Report (Mid Term)**

<b>Academic Year: 2019-2020</b>	<b>Programme:</b>	Electrical Engineering
---------------------------------	-------------------	------------------------

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject:  
 (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

S. N.	Question	Offline (Rating 05)											Online (Rating 05)			Average
		Sub:1		Sub:2		Sub:3		Average			NA	AC/IV	Average			
		Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th				Pr		
		Rating (05)														
1	Regularity in class conduction	4.1	3.9	4.2			4.4	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.82	4.00	3.9	
2	Delivery of course content	3.9	3.9	4.1			4.4	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.96	4.00	4.0	
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	3.9	3.9	4.1			4.4	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.42	4.00	4.2	
4	Understanding of course objective	3.9	3.8	4.1			4.4	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.21	4.00	4.1	
5	Overall command on class and subject	3.8	3.9	4.1			4.4	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.15	4.00	4.1	
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.9	4.0	4.1			4.4	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.19	4.00	4.1	
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.8	3.9	4.1			4.4	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.92	4.00	4.0	
8	At what level Course meets the expectation	3.9	3.8	4.1			4.4	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.88	4.00	3.9	
Average		3.9	3.9	4.1			4.4	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.00	4.1	
Suggestions for Improvement :																
Overall Rating																
4.1																

**HOD**

  
**Academic Dean**

  
**Principal**

**Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik**  
**Student's Feedback Report (Mid Term)**

Academic Year: 2019-2020		Programme: Electrical Engineering														
Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject: (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)																
S. N.	Question	Name of Subject	Offline (Rating 05)						Online (Rating 05)							
			Sub:1		Sub:2		Sub:3		Sub:1		Sub:2		Sub:3		Parameter	CSJ BEE
Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th		
1	Regularity in class conduction		4.000	4.000										Clarity of expectations of students	4.00	4.0
2	Delivery of course content		4.026	4.077										Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Following: (Technical content/course content Communication skills Use of	4.00	4.0
3	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts		4.026	3.923										Feedback provided on Students progress	4.00	4.0
4	Understanding of course objective													Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	4.00	4.0
5	Overall command on class and subject		4.000	3.923										Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	4.00	4.0
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline		4.077	4.077										Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	4.00	4.0
7	Clarity given in evaluation format		4.051	4.051										Pace on which contents were covered	4.00	4.0
8	At what level Course meets the expectation		4.051	4.026										Support for the development of Students skill (Practical demonstration Hands on training Case Study / Other)	4.00	4.0
Average			4.04	4.01										Willingness to offer help and advice to students	4.50	4.5
Suggestions for Improvement :			4.04	4.01										Average	4.1	4.1
Overall Rating															4.0	

  
HOD

  
Academic Dean

  
Principal

Guru Gobind Singh Foundations  
Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik  
Feedback analysis

Corrective measures taken

For the Feedback of A.Y. 2019-2020

Date of Feedback – 09/10/2019 and 27/02/2020

Feedback on – Academic

Total no of responses recorded – 153

Summary of the Feedback – SEM-I and SEM-II

Following are the observations and corrective measures taken from the feedback


Sr. No	Observations	Corrective Measures	Responsibility
01	TE Students requested to arrange expert lectures session	Expert lectures had been conducted	HOD & Expert lecture co-ordinator
02	Students requested to arrange to session on Soft skills	Conducted soft skills session for students	HOD & Dept. T& P Co-ordinator



Feedback Coordinator



Head  
Department of Electrical Engineering



Academic Dean



Principal

Copy to:

1. HOD EE
2. T+P section:

## Action Taken Report

(To be filled by Head of Department)

Sr. No.	Action Taken	Status of implementation
1.	Expert lecture has been conducted for students	Implemented
2.	Soft skills session had been conducted for students	Implemented



Head

Department of Electrical Engineering